[26th August 1955

SRI P. CHELLATHURAI :—" தனி நபர்களுக்குச் சொந்தமான கோவில்களை ஹரிஜன மக்களுக்கு திறந்து விடும்படியாக ஒரு சட்டத்தை அதாவது தனி நபர்களை நிர்பந்திக்கக்கூடிய முறையில் சட்டத்தைக் கொண்டுவர அரசாங்கம் முயற்சிக்குமா ? "

THE HON. SRI B. PARAMESWARAN :—" இப்பொழுது இருக்கும் சட்டப்பிரகாரம் அவர்களை நாம் நிர்பந்தப்படுத்த முடியாது."

SRI T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR :—" Sir, the Hon. Minister for Religious Endowments was stating that the Government have no facts and figures with regard to the number of private and public temples. May I know from the Hon. Minister whether, for the effective and efficient administration of the Temple Entry Act, is it not highly necessary that the Government must have facts and figures with regard to the number of private temples and public temples? If so, will the Government see that statistics are taken so that a true picture with regard to untouchability in some of the temples which are the citadel of untouchability in this State will be obtained? "

THE HON. SRI B. PARAMESWARAN :—" Sir, the Collectors who are in charge of the enforcement of this Act have been asked to go into this question and find out the number of temples which have been declared as private temples."

DEPUTY SPEAKER :—" Question No. 2315."

SRI C. H. KANARAN :—" Sir, I rise on a point of order. The Hon. Deputy Speaker is not looking at this side at all. So we are not in a position to put supplementary questions."

DEPUTY SPEAKER :—" I am seeing on all sides. My eyes are looking on all sides."

SRI M. KATTIMUTHU :—" என் கேள்வி என்னவாயிற்று என்று கேட்கிறேன். 2314 கேள்வி என்னுடைய கேள்வி, அதற்கு பதில் சொல்லவேண்டும் என்று கேட்டுக்கொள்ளுகிறேன்."

DEPUTY SPEAKER :—" 2313, 2314 இந்த இரண்டு கேள்விகளையும் ஒன்ருக எடுத்து பதில் சொல்லியாகிவிட்டது. உங்களுடைய கேள்வியும் முடிந்துவிட்டது."

" That question has been finished and I have passed on to the next question. Question No. 2315."

Vigilance Home for Women in Mylapore, Madras

* 2315 Q.—SRI A. K. SUBBIAH: Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether there is any proposal to extend or improve the Vigilance Home for Women situated in Mylapore;

(*b*) if so, the details thereof and when it will be given effect to;

(*c*) the amount allotted and spent for the year 1953–54 on this Vigilance Home; and

(*d*) the number of inmates in it at present?

26th August 1955]

The Hon. Sri C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" (*a*) The answer is in the negative.

" (*b*) Does not arise, in view of the answer to clause (*a*) above.

" (*c*) During 1953–54, the total expenses connected with the running of the Vigilance Home and Stri Sadana Rescue Home and Shelter, Madras, amounted to Rs. 1,70,700. Separate figures are not available for the Vigilance Home.

" (*d*) 277 women and 7 children on 16th April 1955."

Sri V. BALAKRISHNAN :—" இந்த விடுதியில் சேருவதற்கு வேண்டிய திறமைகள் என்ன ? "

The Hon. Sri. C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" திறமைகளைப்பற்றி கனம் அங்கத்தினருக்குத் தெரியும் என்று நினைக்கிறேன்."

(Questions Nos. 2316 and 2317 taken together.)

Local development works in Tiruchirappalli district

* 2316 *Q.*—Sri M. KALYANASUNDARAM : Will the Hon. the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state—

(*a*) the total amount allotted for Tiruchirappalli district in 1954–55 for local development works;

(*b*) whether the whole amount was utilized during the year;

(*c*) if not, how much was actually utilized; and

(*d*) the reasons for not utilizing the full allotment in case it is so?

The Hon. Sri M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—" (*a*) Rs. 6,75,717.

" (*b*) No, Sir.

" (*c*) The amount spent during the year was Rs. 2,28,392.

" (*d*) The estimates for works could be sanctioned only by the end of December 1954. As the normal staff could not cope with the work, Government sanctioned special Engineering staff who joined duty only in October 1954."

* 2317 *Q.*—Sri M. KALYANASUNDARAM : Will the Hon. the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state—

(*a*) the amount for which sanction has been sought by the Collector of Tiruchirappalli for local development schemes for the year 1955–56; and

(*b*) how much has been actually sanctioned?

The Hon. Sri M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—" (*a*) Rupees 5,17,114.

" (*b*) Rupees 5·2 lakhs."